

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/829,425

Applicant(s)

EVRON ET AL.

Examiner

Shefali D Patel

Art Unit

2621

All Participants:

(1) Shefali D Patel.

(2) Jay M. Finkelstein (Reg. No. 21,082).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 August 2004

Time: 10:15am

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-2, 10, 13-14, 22-23

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested to have claim 2 cancelled and have that feature amended into claims 1, 10, 22, and 23; have claim 14 cancelled and have that feature amended into claim 13. Mr. Finkelstein agreed to this suggestion and an examiner's amendment is made. See the examiner's amendment and notice of allowance (paper # 8) for more detail.